

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/047,717	TANIGUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Callie E. Shosho	1714	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Callie E. Shosho.

(3) _____.

 (2) Clifford Mass.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 October 2003
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

52,71

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability (see Part II above)
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Mass. Claim 52 was amended in order that the claim recite the same claim language as the other pending independent claims which were amended in the supplemental amendment filed 9/25/03. Claim 71 was cancelled given that in light of the supplemental amendment filed 9/25/03 claim 71 was broader than the claim on which it depended, namely claim 23, and thus failed to further limit claim 23.